

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1999 Avenue of The Stars, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 MILLER BARONDESS, LLP

Plaintiff Herring Networks, Inc., ("Plaintiff" or "Herring Networks"), by and
 through its undersigned attorneys, alleges against Defendants Rachel Maddow,
 Comcast Corporation ("Comcast"), NBCUniversal Media, LLC ("NBC Universal"),
 and MSNBC Cable LLC ("MSNBC Cable") (collectively, "Defendants"), as
 follows:

6

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff owns and operates One America News Network ("OAN"), an
independent media organization focused on providing national and international
news coverage. OAN's news channel has risen rapidly in prominence and
popularity. OAN has become an important voice in American news, providing
Americans with a conservative alternative to the major liberal news outlets.

12 2. Comcast is the nation's largest provider of cable television. Comcast
13 has refused to carry OAN as part of its cable programming because OAN counters
14 the liberal politics of Comcast's own news channel, MSNBC.

15 3. In July 2019, OAN's President, Charles Herring, called out and
16 objected to Comcast's anti-competitive censorship of OAN in an email to Comcast's
17 President of Content Acquisition.

4. A week later, MSNBC's most popular show—The Rachel Maddow
Show—opened with a hit piece on OAN. The show's host, Rachel Maddow
("Maddow"), told her audience that OAN "*really literally is paid Russian propaganda*" (emphasis added).

5. Maddow's statement is utterly and completely false. OAN is wholly
owned and financed by the Herrings, an American family. OAN has never been
paid or received a penny from Russia or the Russian government.

6. Defendants made this false claim to smear OAN's reputation in
retaliation for Plaintiff's insistence that Defendants treat OAN fairly and offer the
OAN news channel to Comcast subscribers.

7. By this action, OAN seeks to hold Defendants accountable for their

COMPLAINT

1 malicious and reprehensible conduct.

2

5

12

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is a California corporation with its principal place of business
in San Diego, California.

9. Maddow is an individual residing in New York, New York.

6 10. Comcast is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of
7 business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

8 11. NBC Universal is a Delaware limited liability company with its
9 principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.

10 12. MSNBC Cable is a Delaware limited liability company with its
11 principal place of business in New York, New York.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim for
14 defamation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the claim is between citizens of
15 different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Plaintiff is a citizen
16 of California. Maddow is a citizen of New York. Comcast is a citizen of
17 Pennsylvania. NBC Universal is a citizen of Pennsylvania and Delaware, by virtue
18 of the citizenship of its sole member. MSNBC Cable is a citizen of Pennsylvania
19 and Delaware by virtue of the citizenship of its member(s).

20 14. Venue is appropriate in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
21 1391(b)(3) because Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because each
Defendant conducts business and/or owns property in California. Therefore, each
Defendant has sufficient contacts with the State of California so as to render the
exercise of jurisdiction over them proper. The claim alleged herein arises from
Defendants' contacts with the State of California.

FACTS

28

27

16. The Herring family launched OAN on July 4, 2013, to deliver timely

435389.3

national and international news 24 hours a day. The OAN channel is carried on
 satellite, cable and streaming providers.

17. OAN features news programming, political talk shows, and special
documentary-style reports. In just a few years, OAN has become the fourth-highest
rated national news network as measured by a leading cable provider and is greatly
outperforming other emerging cable news networks. OAN is a leading conservative
voice in American news.

8 18. OAN is owned and operated by Herring Networks, which is wholly9 owned by members of the Herring family. The Herrings are proud, dedicated and
10 loyal Americans; and they alone own and finance OAN.

19. Defendant Comcast is both the largest cable provider in the United States and a major entertainment company with numerous cable and broadcast channels. Among its news channels is MSNBC, which Comcast owns and operates through its subsidiaries NBC Universal and MSNBC Cable. MSNBC caters to and promotes liberal politics.

20. Despite OAN's rapid rise and growing audience, Comcast has refused
to carry OAN on its cable service. Comcast refuses to carry OAN because it
counters the liberal message of MSNBC. By this blatant censorship, Comcast is
depriving millions of its subscribers from hearing a different viewpoint.

20 21. On July 15, 2019, Charles Herring ("Herring") emailed Gregory
21 Rigdon, the President of Content Acquisition for Comcast. Herring made a case for
22 adding OAN to the Comcast platform and stated his concern that Comcast is
23 refusing to carry OAN because Comcast opposes including another conservative
24 counter channel to counter MSNBC.

25 22. Exactly one week after that email, the most popular show on Comcast's
26 news channel, MSNBC, ran a hit piece on OAN. It happened like this:

27 A. Kristian Rouz and The Daily Beast Article

28

23. One of OAN's employees is a young man named Kristian Rouz

435389.3

11

12

13

14

("Rouz"). Rouz collects and analyzes articles from other sources and writes articles
 based on those sources for OAN. Rouz's articles go through OAN's editorial
 process before they are published. Rouz does not have decision-making authority
 with respect to the content that is aired on OAN.

5 24. Rouz was born in the Ukraine. When he moved to the United States,
6 he had no friends or family here. To make ends meet, Rouz began writing articles
7 for Sputnik News in 2014. Sputnik News is affiliated with the Russian government,
8 but Rouz chose the topics and viewpoints of the articles he wrote for Sputnik News.
9 Rouz's articles provided updates about various topics in global economics and
10 international finance.

25. The headlines of Rouz's articles for Sputnik News include: "N. Ireland Pessimistic About UK Economy Amid Weaker Regional Growth – Poll"; "South Africa's Struggling Utility Eskom Raises \$361 Mln to Keep Lights On"; "Japan Q1 GDP Beats Expectations Despite Weak Consumer Spending"; and "Turkish Central Bank Relaxes Policy, Warns Against FX Purchases to Support Lira."

16 26. Rouz has never been a staff employee of Sputnik News. He worked as
17 a freelancer for Sputnik News and his work there had no relation to his work for
18 OAN. Rouz submitted articles to Sputnik on his own and would receive
19 approximately \$40 if the articles were accepted.

20 27. On July 22, 2019, the Daily Beast—an online publication—published
21 an article entitled, "Trump's New Favorite Channel Employs Kremlin-Paid
22 Journalist." The article was written by Kevin Poulsen ("Poulsen"), also known as
23 "Dark Dante"—the first computer hacker ever to be charged with espionage against
24 the United States government.

25 28. Poulsen spent 17 months as a fugitive from the law before being
26 arrested in 1991. In 1995, Poulson pleaded guilty to seven counts of conspiracy,
27 fraud and intercepting wire communications. He received the longest prison
28 sentence ever for a computer hacker at that time. United States law enforcement has
435389.3 5

COMPLAINT

11

12

13

14

1 referred to him as "the Hannibal Lecter of computer crime."

2 29. Poulsen's article identified Rouz as "on the payroll" of Sputnik News.
3 But Poulsen did not identify any facts tying Rouz's work for OAN to Sputnik News
4 or the Russian government; and that is because there never have been, and are, no
5 such facts.

B. Rachel Maddow

6

7 30. Maddow is a liberal television host with a show on MSNBC called
8 "The Rachel Maddow Show."

9 31. Maddow is obsessed with conspiracy-mongering about a connection
10 between Russia and President Donald Trump. Her obsession is so pronounced that
11 even other media outlets have called her out for her lack of journalistic integrity.

32. On March 28, 2019, for example, the Guardian published an editorial
entitled, "Will Rachel Maddow Face a Reckoning Over Her Trump-Russia
Coverage?" And a March 27, 2019, editorial published in Politico noted: "For the
past two years, Rachel Maddow has been a hero of her own spy-thriller." The
editorial details Maddow's "deep delusion" with Russian conspiracies.

33. On April 12, 2017, The Intercept published an article entitled
"MSNBC's Rachel Maddow Sees A 'Russia Connection' Lurking Around Every
Corner." The article noted that MSNBC and Maddow have "played a key role in
stoking the frenzy over Trump's alleged involvement with Russian meddling in the
U.S. presidential race[.]"

34. The Intercept conducted a quantitative study of all 28 episodes of The
Rachel Maddow Show in the six-week period between February 20 and March 21,
2017. Russia-focused segments accounted for 53 percent of these broadcasts. In 16
of the 28 episodes analyzed, Russia comprised either all or a substantial part of the
"A-block," the show's headlining and lengthiest segment.

27 C. Rachael Maddow's Malicious and False Claim that OAN "Really
 28 Literally is Paid Russian Propaganda"

435389.3

MILLER BARONDESS, LLP Attorners at Law 1999 Avenue of The Stars, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 552-4400 Fax: (310) 552-8400 35. On July 22, 2019, Maddow's show featured a segment on OAN
 entitled, "Staffer on Trump-favored network is on propaganda Kremlin payroll." A
 copy of the transcript for the segment is attached hereto as Exhibit A (and the video
 will be shown at trial).

36. The segment was part and parcel of Maddow's obsession with drawing connections between President Trump and the Russian government. Maddow began by stating that President Trump gave OAN a "pass for access to the White House grounds and a permanent seat in the White House briefing." Maddow noted that President Trump "quot[ed] this little news outlet and frequently t[old] people that they should be watching them."

37. Maddow proceeded to report on Poulsen's article in The Daily Beast.Maddow failed to mention Poulsen's shadowy, criminal history.

38. Then, Maddow made a new, false and maliciously defamatory claim—
a claim not in Poulsen's Daily Beast article. Maddow said, "In this case, the most
obsequiously pro-Trump right wing news outlet in America *really literally is paid Russian propaganda*" (emphasis added).

39. Maddow's claim that OAN "really literally is paid Russian
propaganda" is false and intended to malign and harm OAN. OAN is not paid by
the Russian government. In fact, OAN has taken no money outside the Herring
family whatsoever. None of OAN's content comes from the Russian government.

D. Defendants' Defense: "Literally" Doesn't Mean "Literally"

40. On July 25, 2019, OAN wrote to Comcast and Maddow pursuant to
Code of Civil Procedure § 48, demanding a retraction of this false statement.
Copies of these letters are attached hereto as Exhibits B and C.

41. Defendants refused to retract or correct their defamatory statement
about OAN. On August 6, 2019, counsel for NBC Universal claimed that when
Maddow—a graduate of Stanford and Oxford Universities and a Rhodes Scholar—
said, "literally," she actually meant, "*not* being literally true." (emphasis added).

7 COMPLAINT

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 42. The lawyer's letter argued, bizarrely, that "literally" was the "kind of 2 figure of speech that connoted opinion." Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a copy of 3 NBC Universal's letter.

4 43. Maddow's statement was not opinion. Moreover, it implied facts that 5 were not in the Daily Beast article, including:

- OAN is paid by the Russian government for running content prepared for or at the direction of the Russian government; and/or
- Rouz is paid by the Russian government for preparing content for OAN for or at the direction of the Russian government.

10 44. These assertions were intended to be, and are, false and malicious and/or were made with reckless disregard for the truth. They were made because 12 OAN, unlike MSNBC, presents a conservative viewpoint and to retaliate against 13 OAN for calling out Defendants for their anti-competitive censorship in refusing to 14 distribute OAN.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Defamation)

17 45. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the 18 preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as though set forth fully 19 herein.

2046. Maddow, MSNBC Cable, NBC Universal, and Comcast made a false 21 statement about Plaintiff. Specifically, on July 22, 2019, Maddow made the 22 statement during a segment on The Rachel Maddow Show that OAN "really literally 23 is paid Russian propaganda." Viewers of the segment reasonably understood that 24 this statement was about Plaintiff.

25 47. MSNBC Cable, NBC Universal, and Comcast took a responsible part in the publication of this statement. The statement was published on MSNBC 26 27 Cable's cable-news channel, and MSNBC Cable has editorial control over the 28 content of The Rachel Maddow Show. Upon information and belief, Comcast and 435389.3 8

COMPLAINT

6

7

8

9

11

15

NBC Universal were involved in the statement as retaliation for Plaintiff's
 insistence that Defendants treat OAN fairly and offer its news channel to Comcast
 subscribers.

4 48. Maddow's assertion that OAN "really literally is paid Russian
5 propaganda" was a false statement of fact. OAN is not paid by the Russian
6 government, none of OAN's content comes from the Russian government, and OAN
7 is not owned or controlled by Russia.

8 49. Additionally, the statement implied the following false assertions of
9 fact:

- OAN is paid by the Russian government for running content prepared for or at the direction of the Russian government; and/or
- Rouz is paid by the Russian government for preparing content for OAN for or at the direction of the Russian government.

14 50. Maddow, MSNBC Cable, NBC Universal and Comcast knew this
15 statement was false and acted maliciously and/or with reckless disregard as to its
16 truth.

17 51. Because of the facts and circumstances known to the viewers, the
18 statement tended to injure Plaintiff's news business and exposed Plaintiff to hatred,
19 contempt and ridicule and discouraged others from associating and dealing with
20 Plaintiff, including by discouraging advertising on OAN's news channel.

52. This statement constitutes defamation *per se* because it is damaging on
its face to Plaintiff's business and reputation; indeed, the statement amounts to a
charge of treason and disloyalty to the United States of America.

24 53. Plaintiff has suffered harm to its business and reputation and incurred
25 expenses to correct the false statement. Among other things, OAN generates
26 revenue from advertising, and the false statement has and/or will result in lost
27 revenues for Plaintiff.

- 28
- 54. Maddow, MSNBC Cable, NBC Universal, and Comcast acted with

435389.3

10

11

12


oppression, fraud and malice. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages. 1

2 Plaintiff has complied with Code of Civil Procedure § 48a. Plaintiff 55. 3 demanded correction of Defendants' false statement on July 25, 2019. Defendants refused. 4

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for a judgment against Defendants 6 7 for:

8	(1) Compensatory damages in excess of \$10,000,000, or as will be proven at		
9	trial;		
10	(2)	Punitive damages;	
11	(3)	Prejudgment interest;	
12	(4)	Attorneys' fees;	
13	(5)	Costs of suit; and	
14	(6)	Such other relief that the Court deems proper.	
15			
16	DATED:	September 9, 2019	MILLER BARONDESS, LLP
17			
18			
19			By: /s/ Amnon Z. Siegel AMNON Z. SIEGEL
20			Attorneys for Plaintiff
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	435389.3 10 COMPLAINT		
	COWPLAINT		



MILLER BARONDESS, LLP Attorneys at Law 1999 Avenue of The Stars. Suite 1000 Los Angeles. California 90067 Tel: (310) 552-4400 Fax: (310) 552-8400